1	CHICAGO PUBLIC SCHOOLS					
2	PROPOSED FY 24 CAPITAL PLAN					
3	PUBLIC HEARING					
4	(Zoom)					
5	held on					
6	June 20, 2023					
7						
8						
9						
10						
11	STENOGRAPHIC REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS					
12	had in the above-entitled cause held virtually					
13	via Zoom, commencing at 12:02 p.m.					
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23	Reported By: Karen Fatigato, CSR					
24	License No.: 084-004072					



(Whereupon, the following 1 2 proceedings commenced at 3 12:02 p.m.) 4 MS. DAVIS: Good afternoon, ladies and 5 gentlemen. My name is Kyrah Davis from the Office of Family and Community Engagement. 6 behalf of my fellow panel members, welcome to 7 8 the first Capital Hearing. Today is June 20th, 2023. We are holding the first Capital Hearing 9 electronically via Zoom and also live on our CPS 10 11 YouTube channel. 12 The purpose of this first hearing is to 13 comply with the School Code provisions regarding 14 the FY 24 Capital Budget. For the record, notice of this public 15 hearing was published in the Chicago Sun-Times, 16 a newspaper of general circulation in the city 17 18 of Chicago on June 13th, 2023. 19 I would also like to note for the 20 record the CPS staff members and Board Members 21 present here today. 22 Charles Mayfield, Interim Chief 23 Operating Officer; Ivan Hansen, Chief Facilities 24 Officer; Venny Dye, Executive Director of



Capital Planning and Construction; Miroslava
Krug, Chief Financial Officer; Heather Wendell,
Chief Budget Officer; Mike Sitkowski, Deputy
Chief of Budget; Anthony Wojtal, Budget Manager;
Chuck Swirsky, Senior Advisor to the CEO; and
Jamel Chambers, City Council Liaison.

Let's begin with the FY 24 Capital presentation with Ivan Hansen and Venny Dye.

CHIEF HANSEN: Thank you, Kyrah. And thank you all for taking the time to join us today. The objective for today's presentation is to provide an overview of the Proposed FY 24 Capital Budget and obtain feedback from stakeholders.

CPS has continued to build on the capital planning process utilized for the FY 23 Capital Budget. The process for FY 24 Capital Budget was officially kicked off earlier this year. In the spring various departments from CPS, including the Office of Equity, the Office of Family and Community Engagement, the Department of Capital Planning and Construction engaged with internal stakeholders. Based on this input and feedback, CPS then conducted five



virtual community engagement sessions. These sessions provided an overview of the CPS portfolio, the extensive critical facility needs, the Equity Index, the various capital budget categories with clear examples of the scope of work for each category and the project prioritization process. These sessions were live via Zoom and also on YouTube with recorded sessions available for the public. There were over 370 participants for these community engagement sessions with active engagement and Q and A.

The sessions also solicited public feedback through an online survey. There were almost 700 survey responses received. Survey results reconfirmed alignment with the District's commitment to address critical facility needs and site improvements. The current plan is to present the recommendation to the June Board for approval.

We believe that CPS is just getting started on our journey to new heights that our school district can achieve. It is our mission to provide world-class educational programs for



all students across the city. And to fulfill
this mission, we make these three commitments
towards students, their families and all
Chicagoans: Academic progress, financial
stability and building trust.

We respect our students and families
and the diverse communities in which they live
and honor them as partners in our shared

and the diverse communities in which they live and honor them as partners in our shared mission. We will earn their trust by communicating openly and consistently and acting on community feedback. In our previous strategic plan, this priority was called integrity, but we changed it to building trust, our CPS blueprint, recognizing that acting with integrity is something you do alone, while building trust is something we do together. do this we must respect our students and families and the diverse communities in which they live and include them as partners in our shared mission. We want to ensure we're listening to your feedback and taking that into account when we continue to revise our processes in Chicago Public Schools.

The CPS facility portfolio is large and



9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

our average facility is 83 years old. 1 2 oldest facility is 149. We maintain over 62 million square feet, and to put that into 3 4 perspective that's the equivalent of 14 Sears Many years of budgetary constraints 5 Towers. have led to a large backlog of certain needs. 6 7 The total District need is over \$3 billion and 8 that is just to bring all facilities to a state 9 of good repair. The cost to repair CPS 10 buildings has far exceeded what CPS has 11 historically been able to afford. Because of this prioritization of capital needs is 12 13 critical, and CPS values your input during this 14 process. 15

The next two slides will discuss our typical approach to capital planning. As noted before, in recent years the District is focused on prioritizing investments that promote equitable access to high-quality learning environments. And earlier this year the District hosted a series of five community capital meetings to gather feedback on how it should prioritize investments. The CPS Department of Capital Planning and Construction,



16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

the Office of Equity and the Office of Family and Community Engagement collaborated to hold community engagement meetings on the capital budget, which highlighted the capital priorities as outlined in the Educational Facilities Master Plan and focused on explaining the capital prioritization process that utilizes the latest Facilities Condition Assessment and Equity Index.

On average, facility needs, such as, roof, masonry replacement, mechanical system upgrades and site improvements, such as, playgrounds/turfs were the highest recommended budget category for allocating capital investments. And we'll take this feedback for future considerations.

Chicago Public Schools Planning

Department recently completed the 2022

Facilities Condition Assessment in all CPS owned and operated facilities. The next run of assessments will begin this summer.

A few points to highlight. This

Facilities Condition Assessment is a visual

inspection only of the building systems, it is



not destructive. A sample report here includes a rank and quantity for all assessed items at each CPS-operated facility. A separate assessment landing page on CPS.EDU is now live and the latest assessment reports were uploaded at the beginning of May. Prioritization of critical capital needs is a process that systems are dynamic and constantly changing. This can be driven by a number of factors, including weather, accelerated deterioration, deferred maintenance and recent investments.

This year's budget is a little different than in recent years -- than it has been. It's been designed to be a two-phased process. The initial plan, which we are discussing today, is focused on utilizing available outside funding to the fullest potential and have the remainder of the budget in place to address unforeseen critical needs as they arise. This first phase is seeking approval in June so funds are in place in order to allow work to occur to ensure a successful school opening this coming fall.

The second phase will be a supplemental



budget that further expands on the effort in recent years to prioritize an equitable allocation of capital funds across the District. This will include a comprehensive review of the spaces and amenities available at a campus for a 21st century learning environment, the age and ability to modernize the campus and the accessibility of the campus. The second phase is targeting approval at the end of this year.

I will now turn it over to Venny to go into these more details.

MS. DYE: Thank you, Ivan. And good afternoon to all of you, and thank you again for taking time to be present for this session of the FY 24 Capital Plan Hearings. As Ivan stated, I'm going to take a deeper dive into the budget and explain the categories that make up the \$155 million proposed budget.

As noted in the presentation previously, the FY 24 plan is designed to be a two-phased approach with this initial budget focusing on leveraging outside funding for which we have almost \$55 million. These projects will include facility needs like exterior envelope



renovations, mechanical system renovations, fire alarm upgrades, system upgrades, modular refurbishments and building automation upgrades, as well as some programmatic investments and site improvements.

The FY 24 Budget includes investments of 155 million that will focus on priority facility needs at our neighborhood schools and including mechanical systems which control our indoor environment and air quality of our schools, site improvements and continued expansion of technology upgrades and other academic priorities. To support schools through the city, the FY 24 Capital Plan provides funding in five major categories: Critical Facility Needs, Interior Improvements, Programmatic Investments, ITS Priorities and Site Improvements.

The FY 24 Capital Budget includes \$93.2 million to address Critical Facility Needs.

This category includes things like our exterior envelope and mechanical projects. Exterior envelope projects include repairs to or replacement of roofs, windows, and exterior



masonry. And mechanical projects prioritize the most urgent upgrades to core mechanical systems. These improvements directly affect our ability to provide warm, safe and dry facilities to our students, staff and community.

Other programs in the Facility Needs
Category include repairing and/or replacing
obsolete critical systems like our fire alarm
replacement program or critical temperature
controls, our BAS systems, and modular
refurbishment.

Also included is the Emergency Unanticipated Facility Repair category, and this is established to address emergency situations that arise from situations like fire, flood or freezing or any other unforeseen facility repair that may arise.

Interior Improvements, this category we are proposing \$10 million for interior renovations at select locations for optimizing space utilization and addressing academic initiatives.

Under the Programmatic Investment category we're proposing \$2 million -- \$2



million which is state funding for programmatic upgrades at Simeon High School.

And in the FY 24 Budget, the ITS

Priorities, the District wants to allocate

\$6.2 million to upgrade school network

infrastructure to address connectivity, replace

aging hardware at the schools and upgrade our

data warehouse and data backups.

Next category is Site Improvements.

This budget would include \$23.6 million for site improvements, including our continued partnership with the Department of Water

Management and the Metropolitan Water

Reclamation District. Students can benefit from a well-rounded education that promotes healthy and active development, and the city and MWRD benefit from additional water infrastructure.

The next category is Capital Project
Support. The budget includes \$20 million in
capital projects for its services necessary to
implement and continue to implement the existing
capital program as well as this particular
capital program. This includes management,
design, planning, assessment, estimating and



more, all of which are needed to successfully implement this particular capital program and those projects getting underway this summer right now.

Full details of the FY 24 Capital
Budget are also available on the Interactive
Capital Plan website located at CPS.EDU. This
site allows users to quickly select projects by
school, geographic area, type and year.

So this next section here is we're going to look at some questions that we have previously received during the public comment forum, which can be found at WWW.CPS.EDU/CAPITALPLAN2024.

So one question was: How are capital plans prioritized?

CPS has historically prioritized projects based on building needs, and these needs are based on the facility assessments that Ivan discussed earlier. The facility needs priorities are defined in the District's Educational Facilities Master Plan and as listed earlier today by Ivan. We used the Conditional Assessment, which is a visual inspection, only



on the building systems, it's not a destructive report, but it includes the rank and quantity of all assessed items at each school. And this is how we prioritize our roofs, windows and mechanical systems. And since these systems are dynamic and change from year to year, it could be accelerated based on deterioration, deferred maintenance or recent investments.

Also, we have been working with the Office of Equity for the last three years and utilizing the Equity Index in order to prioritize projects.

The next question was: What is different about this year's Capital Plan?

As we talked about earlier, this year's Capital Plan will follow a different trajectory than previous years. To ensure that all schools are ready to receive students on day one of the new school year for 2023-2024, CPS is seeking to pass a scale down budget of 155 million in June of 2023 to provide funding in the five major areas that we discussed. The District will then launch a comprehensive review of all facility needs that will include robust stakeholder



1 engagement to develop the new Educational 2 Facilities Master Plan. And this we hope to be 3 released later in 2023-2024 school year. 4 The next question that we received was: 5 Is there a focus on ADA accessibility as part of 6 the Capital Plan? 7 And so accessibility remains a critical 8 priority for CPS, and we have worked closely 9 with the Mayor's Office for People's with 10 Disabilities to develop a long-term ADA capital investment strategy. And this investment 11 strategy was to spend \$100 million over five 12 years of which this would be the fourth year. 13 14 Also included in our normal major MEP 15 mechanical, our roofs and masonry projects, we include accessibility infrastructure in those as 16 17 well. And so those are all of the questions 18 19 that we have received so far. So I'll turn it 20 back over to Kyrah now to go into the public 21 comment section. 22

MS. DAVIS: Thank you, Ivan and Venny.

We will now proceed with today's public

comment segment. And before doing so I would



23

like to share the directions for public comment.

For the record, I would like to note that registration for the public hearing began on Tuesday, June 13th, 2023 at 5:00 p.m. and closed on Thursday, June 15th, 2023 at 5:00 p.m. or until all 20 slots were filled for each of the hearings, whichever occurred first.

Individuals who registered to speak will have 2 minutes to comment. Participants will be alerted when 30 seconds remain. After 2 minutes the facilitator will end the speaking time and promptly proceed to the next participant. I will be calling speakers in chronological order.

Members of the public may submit written comments related to the FY 24 Proposed Capital Budget via the Capital Budget Hearing Public Comment Form posted on our website at CPS.EDU/CAPITALPLAN2024, Tuesday, June 20th, 2023 at 5:00 p.m.

This hearing will conclude after the last person who has registered to speak has spoken or at 1:30 p.m., whichever occurs first.

when called, please state your name for



1	the record.
2	I will proceed by calling the first
3	speaker, please press star 6 in order to unmute.
4	Debra Riddle, please press star 6 in
5	order to unmute.
6	Okay. Debra Riddle was our only
7	speaker. And since no additional speakers have
8	called in to join this portion of the meeting,
9	this concludes the public comment segment.
10	If you have additional questions or
11	comments, please submit them at
12	CPS.EDU/CAPITALPLAN2024. Thank you again for
13	your participation. This concludes the first FY
14	24 Capital Hearing.
15	(Whereupon, these were all the
16	proceedings had at this time.)
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	



1	STATE OF ILLINOIS)
2) ss:
3	COUNTY OF C O O K)
4	
5	Karen Fatigato, being first duly sworn,
6	on oath says that she is a court reporter doing
7	business in the City of Chicago; and that she
8	reported in shorthand the proceedings of said
9	hearing, and that the foregoing is a true and
10	correct transcript of her shorthand notes so
11	taken as aforesaid, and contains the proceedings
12	given at said hearing.
13	given at sail hearing.
14	
15	Karen Fatigato, CSR
16	LIC. NO. 084-004072
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	



				0	
		approach 6:16 9:21	9:3,15 10:14,19 12:18,20,22,23 13:2,	Conditional 13:23	designed 8:14 9:20
\$	6	approval	5,7,15 14:14,16	conducted	destructive
\$10	6	4:20 8:21 9:9	15:6,10 16:17 17:14	3:24	8:1 14:1
11:19	17:3,4	area	categories	connectivity	details
\$100	62	13:9	4:5 9:17 10:15	12:6	9:11 13:5
15:12	6:2	areas 14:22	category 4:6 7:14 10:21 11:7,	considerations 7:16	deterioration 8:10 14:7
\$155 9:18		arise	13,18,24 12:9,18	consistently	develop
\$2	7	8:20 11:15,17	century	5:10	15:1,10
11:24	700	assessed	9:6	constantly	development
\$20	4:15	8:2 14:3	CEO	8:8	12:16
12:19		assessment	3:5 Chambers	constraints	directions
\$23.6	8	7:8,19,23 8:4,5 12:24 13:24	3:6	6:5 Construction	16:1 directly
12:10 \$3	83	assessments	change	3:1.22 6:24	11:3
6:7	6:1	7:21 13:19	14:6	continue	Director
\$55	0.1	automation	changed	5:22 12:21	2:24
9:23		10:3	5:13	continued	Disabilities
\$6.2	<u> </u>	average 6:1 7:10	changing 8:8	3:15 10:11 12:11	15:10
12:5 \$93.2	ability	6.17:10	channel	control 10:9	discuss 6:15
10:19	9:7 11:3		2:11	controls	discussed
10.10	academic 5:4 10:13 11:21	В	Charles	11:10	13:20 14:22
1	accelerated	back	2:22	core	discussing
<u> </u>	8:10 14:7	15:20	Chicago	11:2	8:16
12:02	access	backlog	2:16,18 5:23 7:17 Chicagoans	cost 6:9	district 4:23 6:7,17,21 9:3
2:3	6:19	6:6 backups	5:4	Council	12:4,14 14:22
13th 2:18 16:4	9:8 15:5,7,16	12:8	Chief	3:6	District's
14	account	BAS	2:22,23 3:2,3,4,9	CPS	4:17 13:21
6:4	5:22	11:10	chronological	2:10,20 3:15,20,24	dive
149	achieve	based	16:14 Chuck	4:2,21 5:14,24 6:9,	9:16
6:2	4:23	3:23 13:18,19 14:7	3:5	10,13,23 7:19 13:17 14:19 15:8	diverse 5:7,18
155 10:7 14:20	acting	began 16:3	circulation	CPS-OPERATED	driven
15th	5:10,14 active	begin	2:17	8:3	8:9
16:5	4:11 12:16	3:7 7:21	city	CPS.EDU	dry
1:30	ADA	beginning	2:17 3:6 5:1 10:14 12:16	8:4	11:4
16:23	15:5,10	8:6 behalf	clear	CPS.EDU. 13:7	Dye 2:24 3:8 9:12
	additional	2:7	4:5	CPS.EDU/	dynamic
2	12:17 17:7,10 address	benefit	closed	CAPITALPLAN202	8:8 14:6
2	4:17 8:19 10:20	12:14,17	16:5	4	
16:9,10	11:14 12:6	billion	closely 15:8	16:19	E
20	addressing	6:7	Code	CPS.EDU/	earlier
16:6	11:21	blueprint 5:14	2:13	CAPITALPLAN202 4.	3:18 6:20 13:20,23
2022 7:18	Advisor 3:5	Board	collaborated	17:12	14:15
2023	affect	2:20 4:20	7:2	critical	earn
2:9,18 14:21 16:4,5,	11:3	bring	commenced	4:3,17 6:13 8:7,19	5:9
20	afford	6:8	2:2 comment	10:15,20 11:8,9 15:7	education 12:15
2023-2024	6:11	budget 2:14 3:3,4,13,17,18	13:12 15:21,24 16:1,	current 4:19	educational
14:19 15:3 20th	afternoon 2:4 9:13	4:5 7:4,14 8:12,18	9,18 17:9	4.19	4:24 7:5 13:22 15:1
2:8 16:19	age	9:1,17,18,21 10:6,19	comments		effort
21st	9:6	12:3,10,19 13:6	16:16 17:11 commitment		9:1
9:6	aging	14:20 16:17	4:17	data	electronically
23	12:7	budgetary 6:5	commitments	12:8	2:10 emergency
3:16 24	air	build	5:2	Davis 2:4,5 15:22	11:12,14
2:14 3:7,12,17 9:15,	10:10 alarm	3:15	communicating	day	end
20 10:6,14,19 12:3	10:2 11:8	building	5:10	14:18	9:9 16:11
13:5 16:16 17:14	alerted	5:5,13,16 7:24 10:3	communities	Debra	engaged
	16:10	13:18 14:1 buildings	5:7,18 community	17:4,6	3:23
3	alignment	6:10	2:6 3:21 4:1,10 5:11	deeper	engagement 2:6 3:21 4:1,11 7:2,
30	4:16 allocate		6:21 7:2,3 11:5	9:16 deferred	15:1
16:10	12:4	C	completed	8:10 14:7	ensure
370	allocating	·	7:18 comply	defined	5:20 8:22 14:17
4:10	7:14	called 5:12 16:24 17:8	2:13	13:21	envelope
	allocation	calling	comprehensive	Department	9:24 10:22,23 environment
5	9:3	16:13 17:2	9:4 14:23	3:22 6:24 7:18 12:12	9:6 10:10
5:00	amenities 9:5	campus	conclude	departments 3:19	environments
16:4,5,20	and/or	9:5,7,8	16:21	Deputy	6:20
- ,- ,- =	11:7	capital	concludes 17:9,13	3:3	equitable
	Anthony	2:8,9,14 3:1,7,13,16, 17,22 4:4 6:12,16,	Condition	design	6:19 9:2
	3:4	22,24 7:3,4,6,14 8:7	7:8,19,23	12:24	Equity 3:20 4:4 7:1,8 14:10
		. , , , , , , ,			



freezing 16,18 11:3,18 12:9, latest participation equivalent 11:16 7:7 8:5 17:13 Ν fulfill include launch partners 6.4 5:19 9:4,24 10:23 established 5.8 19 5.1 14.23 needed Full 11:7 12:10 14:24 11:14 learning partnership estimating 13:5 15:16 6:19 9:6 neighborhood 12:12 12:24 fullest included led pass 10:8 11:12 15:14 examples 8:17 6:6 network 14:20 funding includes leveraging People's 4:5 12:5 8:17 9:22 10:15 12:1 8:1 10:6,19,21 exceeded 9.22 newspaper 15.9 12:19.23 14:2 6:10 14.21 Liaison person 2:17 including **Executive** funds normal 16:22 8:21 9:3 3:20 8:9 10:9 12:11 listed perspective 2:24 15.14 Index future existina 13:22 note 6:4 4:4 7:9 14:11 listening 12:21 7:16 2:19 16:2 phase FΥ Individuals expands 5:21 noted 8:20,24 9:8 2:14 3:7,12,16,17 16.8 live 6:16 9:19 place 9:1 8:19,21 9:15,20 10:6,14,19 indoor 2:10 4:8 5:7.19 8:4 expansion notice 12:3 13:5 16:16 10:10 located 10.12 2:15 plan infrastructure 17:13 explain 13:7 number 4:19 5:12 7:6 8:15 12:6,17 15:16 9:15,20 10:14 13:7, locations 8:9 explaining initial 22 14:14.16 15:2.6 11:20 G planning 8:15 9:21 long-term 7:6 0 3:1,16,22 6:16,24 gather initiatives extensive 15:10 7:17 12:24 11:22 6:22 4:3 objective general input plans exterior М 3:11 3.24 6.13 9:24 10:21,22,24 2:17 13:16 obsolete gentlemen inspection playgrounds/turfs maintain 11:8 2:5 7:24 13:24 6.2 obtain F geographic integrity points maintenance 3:13 5:13.15 7:22 facilitator 13:9 8.11 14.8 occur Interactive portfolio good major 16:11 8:22 2:4 6:9 9:12 13.6 4:3 5:24 facilities 10:15 14:21 15:14 occurred Interim portion 2:23 6:8 7:5,8,19,20, make 16:7 23 11:4 13:22 15:2 н 5:2 9:17 occurs interior posted facility management 16:23 10:16 11:18.19 4:3,18 5:24 6:1,2 16:18 Hansen 12:13,23 Office potential internal 7:10 8:3 9:24 10:8, 2:23 3:8,9 Manager 2:6 3:20 7:1 14:10 16,20 11:6,13,16 hardware 3:23 8:18 3.4 15:9 investment 13:19,20 14:23 present 12:7 masonry Officer 2:21 4:19 9:14 factors healthy 11:23 15:11 2:23,24 3:2,3 7:11 11:1 15:15 investments presentation 8:9 12:15 Master officially 6:18,23 7:15 8:11 3:8,11 9:19 fall hearing 7:5 13:22 15:2 3:18 10:4,6,17 14:8 2:8,9,12,16 16:3,17, press 8:23 Mayfield oldest items 17:3,4 families 21 17:14 6:2 2:22 8:2 14:3 previous hearings 5:3.6.18 Mayor's online Ivan 5:11 14:17 **Family** 9:15 16:7 4:14 2:23 3:8 9:12,15 previously 2:6 3:21 7:1 Heather mechanical opening 13:20.23 15:22 feedback 7:11 10:1,9,22 11:1, 9:20 13:12 8.23 priorities 3:13,24 4:14 5:11,21 heights 2 14.5 15.15 openly 7:4 10:13,17 12:4 6:22 7:15 4:22 meeting 5:10 13:21 feet High 17:8 operated prioritization 12:2 Jamel meetings 7:20 4:7 6:12 7:7 8:6 fellow high-quality 3:6 6:22 7:3 Operating join prioritize members 6:19 2:23 3:10 17:8 6:23 9:2 11:1 14:4, filled highest 2:7,20 16:15 optimizing journey 16:6 7.13**MEP** 11:20 prioritized financial highlight 4.22 15:14 order 13:16.17 June 3:2 5:4 Metropolitan 8:21 14:11 16:14 fire 2:8,18 4:20 8:21 prioritizing highlighted 12:13 17:35 14:20 16:4,5,19 6:18 10:1 11:8,15 Mike outlined flood priority historically 7:5 5:12 10:7 15:8 11:15 6:11 13:17 million overview Κ proceed focus hold 6:3 9:18,23 10:7,20 3:12 4:2 15:23 16:12 17:2 10:7 15:5 kicked 11:19,24 12:1,5,10, owned focused holding 19 14:20 15:12 proceedings 3:18 7:19 6:17 7:6 8:16 Krug minutes 2:9 process focusing honor 3.2 16:9,11 3:16,17 4:7 6:14 7:7 9:22 5:8 **Kyrah** Miroslava 8:7,15 follow 2:5 3:9 15:20 hope p.m. processes 14:16 mission 15:2 2:3 16:4,5,20,23 5:22 Form 4:23 5:2.9.20 hosted L panel program 16:18 6:21 modernize 2:7 11:9 12:22,23 13:2 forum ladies 9:7 part programmatic 13:13 modular 2:4 15:5 ı 10:4,17 11:23 12:1 landing found 10:2 11:10 participant programs 13:13 MWRD implement 8:4 16:13 4:24 11:6 fourth 12:21 13:2 large 12:16 participants progress 15:13 5:24 6:6 improvements 4:10 16:9 5:4 4:18 7:12 10:5.11.



project registered select successfully urgent 4:6 12:18 16:8,22 11:20 13:8 13:1 11:2 registration projects Senior summer users 9:23 10:22,23 11:1 7:21 13:3 16:3 3.5 13.8 utilization 12:20 13:3.8.18 related separate **Sun-times** 14:12 15:15 16:16 8:3 11:21 supplemental utilized promote released series 6:18 15:3 6:21 3:16 8:24 promotes remain services support utilizes 10:13 12:19 12:15 16:10 12:20 7.7 promptly remainder session survey utilizing 8:16 14:11 16:12 8:18 4:14,15 proposed remains sessions Swirsky 3:12 9:18 16:16 4:1,2,7,9,11,13 15:7 3:5 ν proposing renovations share system 11:19.24 10:1 11:20 16:1 7:11 10:1,2 values provide repair shared systems 6:13 3:12 4:24 11:4 14:21 6:9 11:13,16 7:24 8:7 10:9 11:2,8, 5:8,20 Venny provided repairing Simeon 10 14:1,5 2:24 3:8 9:10 15:22 11:7 12:2 virtual provisions repairs site Т 2.13 10:23 4:18 7:12 10:5,11,18 visual public taking 12:9,10 13:8 replace 7:23 13:24 2:15 4:9,13 5:23 Sitkowski 3:10 5:21 9:14 12:6 7:17 13:12 15:20,23 replacement talked 3:3 W 16:1,3,15,18 17:9 7:11 10:24 11:9 situations published replacing 11:14,15 targeting warehouse 2:16 slides 11:7 9:9 12:8 purpose 6:15 technology report warm slots 8.1 14.2 10:12 11:4 put reports 16:6 temperature water 6:3 8:5 solicited 11:9 12:12,13,17 respect 4:13 things weather space 5:6.17 Q 10:21 8:10 Thursday responses 11:21 website quality 4:15 spaces 16:5 13:7 16:18 10.10 results 9.5 time well-rounded speak quantity 3:10 9:14 16:12 4:16 12:15 today 8:2 14:2 review 16:8,22 Wendell 2:8,21 3:11 8:16 question 9:4 14:23 speaker 13:15 14:13 15:4 revise 17:37 13.23 whichever questions 5:22 speakers today's 16.7 23 13:11 15:18 17:10 Riddle . 16:13 17:7 3:11 15:23 windows quickly speaking 17:4,6 total 10:24 14:4 13:8 robust . 16:11 6:7 Wojtal **Towers** spend 14.24 roof 15:12 6:5 work R 7:11 spoken trajectory 4:6 8:22 rank roofs 16:23 14:16 worked 10:24 14:4 15:15 spring 8.2 14.2 trust 15:8 ready 5:5.9.13.16 run 3:19 working 14:18 7:20 square Tuesday 14:9 receive 16:4,19 world-class stability 14:18 turn S 4:24 received 9:10 15:19 5:5 written staff 4:15 13:12 15:4,19 safe two-phased 16:16 2:20 11:5 recent 8:14 9:21 WWW.CPS.EDU/ 11:4 6:17 8:11,13 9:2 stakeholder sample type **CAPITALPLAN202** 14:8 14:24 13:9 8:1 4. stakeholders recently typical scale 13:14 3:14.23 7:18 14:20 6:16 Reclamation school star Υ 12:14 2:13 4:23 8:23 12:2, 17:3,4 U recognizing started 5 13:9 14:3,19 15:3 year 5:14 4:22 Unanticipated schools 3:19 6:20 9:9 13:9 recommendation 5:23 7:17 10:8,11,13 state 11:13 14:6,19 15:3,13 6:8 12:1 16:24 12:7 14:17 4:19 underway year's recommended scope stated 13:3 8:12 14:14,15 7:13 4:6 9:16 unforeseen years reconfirmed Sears strategic 8:19 11:16 6:1,5,17 8:13 9:2 4:16 5:12 6.4 unmute 14:10,17 15:13 seconds record strategy 17:3,5 Youtube 2:15,20 16:2 17:1 16:10 15:11.12 upgrade 2:11 4:8 section recorded students 12:5.7 5:1,3,6,17 11:5 4:8 13:10 15:21 upgrades 7 12:14 14:18 refurbishment seeking 7:12 10:2,3,12 11:2 8:20 14:19 submit 11.11 12.2 Zoom refurbishments 16:15 17:11 segment uploaded 2:10 4:8 successful 15:24 17:9 10:3 8:5 8:22

